## TENDRILLED ACACIA (tac): AN ALLELE AT THE Uni LOCUS

Marx, G. A. NYS Agricultural Experiment Station, Geneva, NY USA

Tendrilled acacia (tac) recently has been found to be situated in chromosome 3 (5), toward the M end of the chromosome (6). To fix its position more precisely,  $\underline{\text{tac}}$  was crossed with lines which were known or thought to reside in the segment between st and  $\underline{\text{uni}}$ . It became apparent from these crosses that tac and uni are allelic.

Analysis of crosses involving st apu  $\underline{\text{tac}}$  and M (Table I) revealed a rather close linkage between M and  $\underline{\text{tac}}$ , and the close association between st and apu, reported previously (5), was confirmed. Since earlier evidence (3,4) indicated that well is located close to st, in the region between st and M, well was also used in crosses with  $\underline{\text{tac}}$ . The present evidence, however, indicates that well ies toward the b end of chromosome 3 rather than toward the M end. The substantial population size in the present studies and the fact that the cross is a four point cross lends considerable credence to the new findings.

Tables 3-4 provide additional linkage data for chromosome 3 markers collected in the course of pursuing studies not directly related to this investigation.

Since M and uni are known to be closely linked (2) and inasmuch as tac and M are closely linked (Table 1), tac and uni were expected to be closely linked as well. To test this assumption, tac was crossed with WL 187 (type line for uni), seeds of which were kindly supplied by Dr. Blixt. Since uni/uni plants are sterile, it was necessary to use a number of phenotypically normal segregants in WL 187 as parents to ensure recovering the uni allele from a heterozygous plant. A total of 73 F1 seeds from 11 individual F1 plants were planted. Six of the F1 progenies contained wildtype plants exclusively whereas five progenies contained plants of two different phenotypes: some wild type and some resembling a combination of tac and uni. In plants of the latter class the early-formed leaves were unifoliate; later leaves became tripartite, followed by two pairs of leaflets and a terminal leaflet. These plants characteristically had no terminal tendrils, but rarely an odd subterminal tendril did appear. Flowers on the affected plants were somewhat malformed In contrast to the flowers borne on sibling plants. The malformation notwithstanding, the flowers more closely resembled normal flowers than the distinctively sterile inflorescences of uni/uni plants. Fertility was only somewhat impaired. The described plants were interpreted as carrying the tac allele and the uni allele together in the same plant, thus evidencing alleles at a single locus.

To pursue this supposition further, and to demonstrate that the plants in question were not selfs, I grew the selfed seed of two of the F1's showing the described hybrid phenotype. One population contained 44 F2 plants (one died early), the second 18 plants (Table 5). The spectrum of plant phenotypes was the same in both populations. There were no normal, wild-type plants in either population. Most plants resembled tac (some with typical subterminal tendrils) and a minority resembled the distinctive phenotype conferred by uni/uni, including the typical malformed, sterile

inflorescences (Table 6). The  $\underline{tac}$  allele apparently is dominant to the uniallele but the dominance is incomplete and the heterozygotes usually can be distinguished from  $\underline{tac}$  homozygotes (and readily from  $\underline{uni}$  homozygotes). Segregation for these phenotypes was accompanied by normal segregation for other markers present in the cross (Table 6); segregation also occurred at the R and I loci.

Even before recognizing the stated allelism certain similarities were evident between  $\underline{\text{tac}}$  and  $\underline{\text{uni}}$  plants in the early seedling stage. The first true leaf of  $\underline{\text{tac}}$  plants often is unlfoliate and, conversely, uni typically bears some tripartite leaves, although later in development.

Another feature of tac plants is the reduced number of leaflet pairs. In fact, this is a convenient means to distinguish tac tl from Tac tlin segregating populations. Sharma (7) has already pointed out that the terminal leaflet of tac plants is not appreciably reduced in size as is typically the case in tl plants. In plants homozygous for tac and for tl, the pair of subterminal tendrils (characteristic of tac) are absent, thus for this property tl is epistatic to tac. However, tac Tl plants often show variability of expression with respect to the subterminal tendrils; not infrequently the leaves have only one tendril or they may have none. Thus the absence of subterminal tendrils is by itself not a sure way to distinguish between tac t1 and Tac t1 plants. Such a distinction can confidently be made, however, by observing differences in the number of leaflet pairs per leaf. Plants with the tac t1 combination have fewer leaflet pairs than those with tl alone; thus, in this respect, tacis epistatic to tl. In an af\_ background the distinction between tac tland  $\underline{tac}$  Tl/- is unmistakable. Moreover, the difference between af tac tland  $\underline{\text{af Tac tl}}$  is evident by the larger and fewer laminae in the former than in the latter. (This difference evidently was also recognized by Sharma (7) because, in his diagramatic illustrations, he depicts the leaflet size of af tac tl plants as larger than af Tac tl plants). Thus, tac is an interesting and powerful mutant gene in a number of respects.

There remains the question of symbolization. Because the discovery of  $\underline{\text{unifoliata}}$  (1) antidates  $\underline{\text{tendriled acacia}}$ , perhaps the symbolization should be:  $\underline{\text{Uni}},\underline{\text{Uni}}^{\text{tec}}$ ,  $\underline{\text{uni}}$ . According to Sharma and Kumar (8) there already exist two alleles of  $\underline{\text{tac}}$ :  $\underline{\text{tac}}^{\text{s}}$ , and  $\underline{\text{tac}}^{\text{s}}$ . Whether  $\underline{\text{Uni}}$  is a classical multiple allelic locus or a complex locus remains to be seen.

- 1. Lamprecht, H. 1933. Hereditas 28:269-296.
- 2. Lamprecht, H. 1948. Agri Hort. Genet. 6:10-48.
- 3. Marx, G. A. 1972. PNL 4:30-31.
- 4. Marx, 6. A. 1974. PNL 6:30-31.
- 5. Marx, G. A. 1984. PNL 16:46-48.
- 6. Marx, G. A., N. F. Weeden, and R. Provvidenti. 1985. PNL 17:57-60.
- 7. Sharma, B. 1981. Pulse Crops News1. 1(1):56-57.
- 8. Sharma, B. and S. Kumar. Pulse Crops Newsl. 1(3):21-22.

Table 1. Analysis of  $F_2$  populations derived from the cross A M Tac Apu St x A m <u>tac apu st</u>.

Gene	combinations				Gene		Chi-squa	Recomb.		
St		Tac		No.	pair	X	Y	Linkage	fract.	S.E.
+	+	+	+	209	St Apu	0.44	0.09	217.28**	8.3	1.5
+	+	+	1	10	St Tac	0.44	4.46*	2.97ns	4981-383	
1	1	60.0	+	7	St M	0.44	3.97*	1.79 ns	10.000	-
+	+	200	10_90	35	Apu Tac	0.09	4.46*	14.98**	36.0	3.3
	ther.	1	+	10	Apu M	0.09	3.96*	10.13**	38.3	3.4
+		+		0	Tac M	4.46*	3.96*	228.05**	7.7	1.5
+		4	+	1		Saffin W				
+	36/11		06 81	8		(Popul	ation :	B285-436-449	)	
	+	+	+	11					0000 157	
	+	+		0						
	+	1000	+	0						
	+	100		0						
	a girl	+	+	49						
	10.00	+	-incr	3						
Mi.	Lon.	9000	+	4						
			eriot,	19						
	0.00	12 s	ibays	366						

Table 2. Analysis of  $F_2$  populations derived from the cross Tac Apu St wel x tac apu st  $\underline{Wel}$ .

Gene	com	binat	ions		Gene		1189		Recomb.	C E
WeT	St	Apu	Tac	No.	pair	X	Υ	Linkage	fract.	S.E.
+	+	+	+	231	7.1.10		1000 100	70 0444	10.5	2.0
+	+	+	-	55	Wel St	0.30	1.89	78.84**	12.5	3.9
+	+	_	+	8	Wel Apu	0.30	4.44*	61.61**	19.8	3.8
+	+	-	-	6	Wel Tac	0.30	0.03	6.17*	42.3	3.2
+	_	+	+	10	St Apu	1.89	4.44*	496.37**	4.5	0.8
+	_	+	_	1	St Tac	1.89	0.03	30.23**	36.4	2.5
+	_	+	-	1	Apu Tac	4.44*	0.03	41.50**	33.9	2.4
+	_	_	+	91						
+	-		-	69		(Popu	lation: B	285-450-472	)	
-	+	+	+ -	130						
-	+	+	-	27						
-	+	- 1	+	2						
-	+	-	-	3						
-	-	+	+	0						
-	-	+	-	0						
-		-	+	3						
-		-	-	0						
				636						

Table 3. Analysis of populations derived from three crosses of the constitution: apu tac x Apu Tac,

Gene							Chi-squ	Recomb.		
pair	XY	Ху	$\times Y$	ху	Tot.	X	Υ	Linkage	fract.	S.E
Apu Tac	45	9	7	13	74	0.16	0.88	19.51**	23.0	5,
	(Pop	oulat	ion:	B285-	507-511)					
Apu Tac	97	21	17	18	153	0.37	0.02	15.27**	29.5	4,
	(Pop	oulat	ion:	B285-	341-349)					
Apu Tac	38	20	3	8	69	3.02	8.93**	5.61*	29.1	6.
	(Pop	oulat.	ion: I	3285-	413-416)					

Table 4. Analysis of three F2 populations derived from three-point crosses

(a) st apu tac x St Tac St, (b) St\_ a£u tac x st Apu Tac, and

(c) st Apu Tac x St apu tac.

(a) Apu	Tac	St	No.	Gene	0	hi-square	10.00	Recomb.	
+	+	+	75	pair	- X	V	Linkage	fract.	S.E.
+	+	-	5	Pari			Linkage	Tract.	3.6.
+	_	+	24	Apu Tac	0.00	10.61**	27.98**	23.4	4.2
+		_	2	Apu St	0.00	0.06	69.51**	11.4	2.9
_	+	+	1	Tac St	10.61**		11.92**	31.9	4.9
_	+	_	8	140 30	10.01	0.00	11.72	31.9	4.9
_	_	+	7		(Popul	ation: R	285-418-423	3 /	
_	0.0		19		(горат	acton. Da	-03-410-42	)	
			141						
b)									
t	Apu	Tac	No.	Gene		Chi-squar		Docomb	
+	+	+	TZI	pair		v - squar		Recomb.	СГ
+	+	_	41	pari			Linkage	fract.	S.E
+	_	+	43	St Apu	0.48	0.19	38.45**	14.3	5.3
+		_	43	St Tac	0.48	1.42	18.62**	30.9	4.8
_	+	+	79	Apu Tac	0.19	1.42	32.41**	31.9	3.2
_	+	_	9	ripa rac	0.15	1.42	32.41	31.9	3.4
	-	+	1		(Popul	ation: A2	285-363-364	1)	
_	-	_	1		(, opa,	acton. ne	.05-505-50-	* )	
			338						
c)									
t +	Apu	Tac	No.	Gene		Chi-squar	`e	Recomb.	
+	+	+	52	pair	X	Υ	Linkage	fract.	S.E.
+	+	-	15						
+	-	+	19	St Apu	0.00	0.00	14.34**	<23.2	8.7
+	_	-	14	St Tac	0.00	0.00	3.75ns	-	-
-	+	+	29	Apu Tac	0.00	0.00	7.22**	34.7	5.3
-	+	-	4					0/	3.0
-	-	+	0		(Popul	ation: A2	(85-351)		
-	-	-	1		,				
			133						